



AF  
ZFW

Form: PTO/SB/17 (Modified)

<b>REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL</b>		Attorney Docket No.	95-469
		Application Number	09/955,129
		Filing Date	September 19, 2001
		First Named Inventor	TURNER
		Group Art Unit	2674
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	\$ 0	Examiner Name	WU, Xiao Min

**FEE CALCULATION** (fees effective 10/01/2003)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	48	48	0 <sup>(3)</sup>	X \$18.00 =	\$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	4	0	X \$86.00 =	\$0
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of ____, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$420); 3 months (\$950); 4 months (\$1,480); 5 months (\$2,010)):					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					+
Total of above Calculations =					\$0
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					-
<b>TOTAL FEES DUE =</b>					<b>\$0</b>

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".  
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".  
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".  
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

**METHOD OF PAYMENT**

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.  
☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.

**AUTHORIZATION**

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.:	50-1130
OrderNo.: (Client/Matter)	95-469

**SUBMITTED BY: LEON R. TURKEVICH, ESQ.**

Typed Name	Leon R. Turkevich	Reg. No.	34,035
Signature		Date	April 5, 2006

Docket No.: 95-469



PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

TURNER et al.

Serial No.: 09/955,129

Filed: September 19, 2001

**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE UNDER  
37 CFR §1.116**

Group Art Unit: 2674

Examiner: WU, Xiao Min

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR ARBITRATION OF DISPLAY INFORMATION FROM  
MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS FOR A NETWORK ENABLED DISPLAY DEVICE

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL**

**MAIL STOP AF**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Action mailed February 7, 2006, Applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-48 are unchanged and remain pending in the application.

Claims 1-11, 13-23, 25-35, and 37-47 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0026244 by Pietrowicz et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,275,226 to Uchida et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,712,995 to Cohn. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As described in detail below, the §103 rejection is improper because it fails to provide any evidence that establishes that one skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify the primary reference (Pietrowicz et al), to include the teachings of the second and third references (Uchida et

Response After Final filed April 5, 2006  
Appln. No. 09/955,129  
Page 1